

Examination into the West of Bewbush Joint Area Action Plan

AGENDA

10.00 hours on Tuesday 3 February 2009 in Committee Rooms A and B at Crawley Town Hall

Issue 7: The Longer Term Approach and Monitoring

Issue 8: Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment

Issue 9: Design

Note: it is likely that Issue 10 will take place immediately after this session

The majority of the questions on the policies and supporting text relate to the tests of soundness requiring the JAAP to be justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Where the parties have clearly stated their respective positions on the questions and there is sufficient information for me, then there is no specific item on the agenda

1. Introduction.

Issue 7 – Chapters 6 and 7

2. FYI only: I disagree with the Council's stance set out in its ¶ 2.11 on JAAP ¶ 6.16, as this JAAP's life and purpose are strictly limited by the present CSs. It could not be reviewed.
3. Have suggested changes been made to the Monitoring Framework to deal with the consequences of other suggested changes to policies e.g. that to WB 22?
4. Do the Councils' suggested changes satisfy any monitoring concerns? If not, what specifically should be said?

Issue 8 – Sustainability Appraisal (SA)

5. I note the Councils' suggested changes to the SA in ¶ 4.3 of their Statement (although the Table Two reference in the last sentence is unclear to me). However, the SA is not part of the JAAP but is part of the evidence base. I do not believe I can recommend changes to it, but see ¶s 3.5.10 and 3.5.15 of CDNat11. What opinion do participants have on this?

Issue 9: WB 3 and 4

6. Should WB 4 mention the DAS to provide the basis for the explanatory text as suggested by the Councils in new ¶s 4.6 to 4.8?
7. Any further points?