

AGENDA

14.00 hours on Tuesday 27 January 2009 in Committee Rooms A and B at Crawley Town Hall

Issue 4: Policies for Development

Whether the JAAP provides sufficiently clear and comprehensive guidance to developers on the various necessary requirements to provide a functioning neighbourhood

Chapter 4: Policies WB 13 to 22

The majority of the questions on the policies and supporting text relate to the tests of soundness requiring the JAAP to be justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Where the parties have clearly stated their respective positions on the questions and there is sufficient information for me, then there is no specific item on the agenda

1. Introduction.
2. WB 12: should there be a requirement for a landscaped western edge to prevent further expansion as per Horsham Core Strategy CP 6, especially in the event of the WRR being constructed? (may have been adequately discussed under Issue 1)
3. WB 13: should there be mention of measures to protect the integrity of nearby off-site nature conservation areas?
4. WB 15: are the Green Links clear and well located? *Countryside Access Forum to start*
5. WB 17: are the Councils' proposed changes on the SuDS issue acceptable? *Crest Nicholson to start*
6. WB 18: Presumably the "built waste management facility" (¶ 4.41) requested by the County Council is strategic in nature and so larger in size than the possible household waste recycling facility (¶ 4.43)? So does the Councils' proposed change to ¶ 4.41 work and actually satisfy the County Council's concerns?
7. WB 20: do the Councils' proposed changes satisfy *Crest Nicholson's (to start)* points? Are they too prescriptive and thus inflexible? Are the Councils accepting Crest Nicholson's "broad locations" change (¶ 3.6 of their Statement)?
8. WB 22: *Councils to start each, except last bullet*
 - What evidence is there that this part of West Sussex should achieve higher levels of sustainable construction in advance of the national timetable for their introduction in other parts of the country?
 - Does the evidence show that the targets would be viable and feasible in line with paragraph 33 of PPS1 supplement "Planning and Climate Change"? Has the potential impact on the delivery of housing supply been fully explored? Will the policy have an impact on affordable housing delivery?
 - Could the viability exception result in the provision of no sustainable construction beyond that stipulated nationally?
 - What minor amendments do the Councils have in mind at ¶ 2.36 of their Statement?
 - Any comments on the Councils' proposed changes?
9. Any further points?