

AGENDA

10.00 hours on Wednesday 21 January 2009 in Committee Rooms A and B at Crawley
Town Hall

Issue 2: Alternative Development Options

Whether the proposed alternatives would meet the principles and objectives set out in the Core Strategies better than the proposed site

The majority of the questions on the policies and supporting text relate to the tests of soundness requiring the JAAP to be justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Where the parties have clearly stated their respective positions on the questions and there is sufficient information for me, then there is no specific item on the agenda

1. Introduction.
2. ADS 4: *KTI Energy Limited to start*
 - This site lies outside the Area of Search in the Core Strategies (CP 6 & W1), and a CHP scheme is not identified in the Core Strategies for the JAAP to allocate. Can this therefore be considered?
 - Is it proposed to alter the representations to vary the location of the proposed CHP? If so, can this be done at this stage?
 - Has a proper SA been made?
3. ADS 5 and 6: *Mr Richard Coppen and then Mr Stephen Coppen to start*
 - Are these sites too small to achieve the required housing numbers?
 - How would the ADS better meet the principles and objectives set out in the Core Strategies?
4. ADS 6 and 7: *Mr Stephen Coppen and then Mr Richard Coppen to start*
 - Do landscape, ecological, flood, noise and conservation constraints preclude these sites? how would the ADS better meet the principles and objectives set out in the Core Strategies?
 - How would the ADS better meet the principles and objectives set out in the Core Strategies?
5. ADS 9: Has a proper SA been made?
6. Any further points?